IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

MARIA LUISA G. RAMIREZ, et al.

\$
versus

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-08-801

\$
\$
\$
FREDDIE RECORDS, INC., et al.

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

On the 5th day of April, 2010, the above-styled and numbered case came on for trial. Both sides appeared and announced ready for trial, and the case was tried to a jury, one having been timely requested. At the conclusion of Plaintiff's case in chief, Defendant moved for judgment as a matter of law as to Plaintiff's claim. Defendant's motion was denied. Jury questions were submitted to the jury on Plaintiff's copyright claim.

The jury questions and answers were as follows:

JURY QUESTION NO. 1

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants listed below are liable for copyright infringement for their acts on or after January 14, 2005 as to Plaintiff Tony Guerrero?

Answer:

1 MBWC11			
a.	Defendant Freddie Records, Inc.	Yes:X	No:
b.	Defendant Freddie Martinez, Sr.	Yes:	No:X
c.	Marfre Music Publishing	Yes:X	No:
d.	Defendant Freddie Martinez, Jr.	Yes:	No:X
e.	Defendant John Martinez	Yes:	No:X
f.	Defendant JoAnn Martinez	Yes:	No:X
g.	Defendant Marc J. Martinez	Yes:	No:X
Proceed to C	Question No. 2.		
	JURY QUESTIC	ON NO. 2	
Do y	ou find by a preponderance of the eviden	ce that the Defendants li	isted below are liable
for copyrigh	t infringement for their acts on or after Jar	nuary 14, 2005 as to Plai	ntiff Maria Ramirez
Answer:			
a.	Defendant Freddie Records, Inc.	Yes:X	No:
b.	Defendant Freddie Martinez, Sr.	Yes:	No:X
c.	Marfre Music Publishing	Yes:X	No:
d.	Defendant Freddie Martinez, Jr.	Yes:	No:X
e.	Defendant John Martinez	Yes:	No:X

	f.	Defendant JoAnn Martinez	Yes:	No:X		
	g.	Defendant Marc J. Martinez	Yes:	No:X		
	Proc	ceed to the next page.				
If yo	ou answe	ered "yes" as to any Defendant in Questi	on No. 1, please answ	ver Question No. 3.		
If yo	ou answe	ered "no" as to all Defendants in Questio	n No. 1, skip Question	No. 3 and proceed to the		
nex	t page.					
		JURY QUE	STION NO. 3			
	Wha	at sum of money, if paid now in cash, wo	ould fairly and reasona	ably compensate Plaintiff		
Ton	ıy Guerr	ero for his injuries, if any, that resulted	I from Defendants' ac	etions?		
	Con	sider the elements of damages listed bel	low and none other.			
	Ansv	wer separately, in dollars and cents for d	lamages, if any. Do n	ot include interest on any		
amo	ount of d	lamages you find.				
a.	Com	npensatory Damages	ANSWER: \$	558,178.00		
b.	Defe	Defendants' profits attributable to copyright infringement				
			ANSWER: \$	6,322.00		
	Plea	se proceed to the next page.				
If y	ou answ	ered "yes" as to any Defendant in Questi	ion No. 2, please answ	ver Question No. 4.		
If y	ou answ	vered "no" as to all Defendants in Ques	stion No. 2, skip Que	stion No. 4 and sign the		
Cer	tificate c	on the last page.				

JURY QUESTION NO. 4

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Plaintiff
Maria Ramirez for her injuries, if any, that resulted from Defendants' actions?

Consider the elements of damages listed below and none other.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents for damages, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find.

a. Compensatory Damages

- ANSWER
- \$ 112,671.00
- b. Defendants' profits attributable to copyright infringement

ANSWER \$_21,197.00____

The Court finds that the above jury verdict reflects the Parties' Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum, in which the Parties agreed that Plaintiffs sued Freddie Martinez, Sr. individually and d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing, and that "Marfre Music Publishing is a d/b/a used by Freddie Martinez, Sr.." (Instrument No. 75, at 2, 4). The question of whether Freddie Martinez, Sr. is liable as an individual is distinct from the question of whether he is liable d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing. See Grabes v. Reinhard Bohle Machine Tools, Inc., 381 S.W.2d 395, 398 (Tex. App. – Corpus Christi 1964). Thus, judgment may be entered against Freddie Martinez d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing even though the jury found that Freddie Martinez, Sr. is not liable in his individual capacity. See id.

However, when an individual is doing business under an assumed name, a judgment rendered against the unincorporated association is binding on the individual. *Old Republic Ins. Co.*, v. *Ex-Im Serv. Corp.*, 920 S.W.2d 393, 396 (Tex. App. – Houston [1st Dist.] 1996). Because

Marfre Music Publishing is a d/b/a of Freddie Martinez, Sr., Freddie Martinez, Sr. is liable for

the judgment against Marfre Music Publishing.

Based on the verdict of the jury, the Court **ENTERS** the following judgment:

Judgment is granted in favor of Plaintiff Tony Guerrero and against Defendants Freddie

Records, Inc. and Freddie Martinez, Sr. d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing in the amount of

\$64,510.00. Judgement is granted in favor of Plaintiff Maria Ramirez and against Defendants

Freddie Records, Inc. and Freddie Martinez, Sr. d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing in the amount of

\$133,868.00. Plaintiffs are also awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$288,055.25 against

Defendants Freddie Records, Inc. and Freddie Martinez, Sr. d/b/a Marfre Music Publishing.

The Clerk shall enter this Order and provide a copy to all parties.

SIGNED on this the day of April, 2011, at Houston, Texas.

VANESSA D. GILMORE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE